

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

	States Patent and Trademark Office
Address:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	warm nepto gov

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/606,699	06/26/2003	David Meiri	EMS-05901	5034	
52427	7590 12/04/2006		EXAMINER		
	D AND SATURNELI	CAMPOS, YAIMA			
200 FRIBERG PARKWAY, SUITE 1001 WESTBOROUGH, MA 01581		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		,	2185		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Applicant(s) Application No. Advisory Action 10/606,699 MEIRI ET AL.

D. C. Alex Fillians of an Associal Dailer							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Yaima Campos	2185					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 13 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS							
. Me reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee larve been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee larve been filed is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL  The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of							
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		maliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mpliant Amendment	(F10L-324).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an o	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> .		•	•				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affidate.	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a  1).				
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	et does NOT place the application i	n condition for allows	nce hecause:				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>		ii condition for allowa	nce pecause.				
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	•					
10. [] Otti61							

Continuation of 11. The proposed amendment does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The scope of claims 1-18 has been amended to include, at least, the new limitations that "...for parts of a particular track..." (Claim 1, lines 7-8 and 9; Claim 10, lines 7 and 8) "...corresponding to different parts fo the particular track..." (Claim 1, line 16; Claim 10, line 9) "...to interleave the parts for the particular track from the remote storage device with the different parts for the particular track form the local storage device." (Claim 1, lines 11-13; Claim 10, lines 11-13). These limitations require further consideration and/or search by the examiner.

Yaima Campos Examiner Art Unit 2185

SANJIV SHAH

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100